

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/929,918	KORDYUM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D.	1648	

All Participants:

(1) Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D.

(2) Che Chereskin.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 January 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Fax of proposed examiner's amendment.*

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1, 24

Prior art documents discussed:


new reference Mlao et al

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner's amendment approved.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)